DEVELOPMENT AND CHARACTERIZATION OF EXTERIOR RADIATA-PINE CLADDING FOR MORE SUSTAINABLE AND ENERGY EFFICIENT FAÇADES IN THE BASQUE COUNTRY

Ph.D. THESIS
presented to obtain the degree of
Doctor Philosophy in Industrial Engineering

Belinda Pelaz Sánchez

DIRECTORS
Dr. Jesús Cuadrado Rojo
Dr. Jesús Mª Blanco Ilzarbe

Mechanical Engineering Department

eman ta zabal zazu
Universidad del País Vasco
Euskal Herriko Unibertsitatea

Ingeniaritza Goi Eskola Teknikoa
Escuela Técnica Superior de Ingeniería
Bilbao

April 2017, Bilbao

Development and characterization of exterior Radiata-pine cladding for more sustainable and energy efficient façades in the Basque Country

Doctoral Thesis March 2017

Author: Belinda Pelaz Sánchez

Directors: Jesús Cuadrado Rojo y
Jesús Mª Blanco Ilzarbe

eman ta zabal zazu
Universidad del País Vasco
Euskal Herriko Unibertsitatea

Ingeniaritza Goi Eskola Teknikoa
Escuela Técnica Superior de Ingeniería
Bilbao

Acknowledgements

I would like to express the deepest appreciation to my thesis directors, Jesús and Jesús Mª, for their help and availability, and to the Department of Mechanical Engineering, School of Engineering, UPV/EHU for their confidence.

I gratefully acknowledge the funding provided by the Basque Regional Government through IT781-13, UPV/EHU under program UFI 11/29, and ITeCons (Institute for Research and Technological Development in Construction, Energy, Environment and Sustainability), University of Coimbra (UC).

In addition, I also thank for the grant received from the Department of Economy and Competitiveness of the Basque Regional Government (EJ-GV) to the project: "*Fomento uso madera pino radiata en fachadas edificios*", and the Erasmus+ Doctorate grant (UPV/EHU).

Above all, I would like to thank my husband for his personal support and patience. My parents, brother and sister have given me their unequivocal support throughout, as always, for which my mere expression of thanks likewise does not suffice. To friends and others who in one way or another shared their morally support, thank you.

Contents

0 Introduction 21

0.1 Aims 23

1 State of Art 27

1.1 Evolution of façades 28

1.1.1 Façade materials 34

1.1.2 Design requirements 44

1.1.3 Use requirements 46

1.2 Thermal resistance in façades 47

1.2.1 Thermal behaviour of wooden façades 49

1.2.2 Thermal properties of Radiata-pine 50

1.3 Wood natural durability 52

1.3.1 Wood protection 53

1.4 Sustainable construction 55

1.4.1 Characteristics of the environment 59

2 Methodology 67

2.1 Test box procedure 69

2.1.1 Equipment 75

2.1.2 Obtaining the thermal conductivity 77

3 Thermal characterization of Radiata-pine 83

3.1 Introduction to heat transfer 85
3.2 Radiata-pine conductivity 88
3.2.1 Thermal characterization of Radiata-pine by conduction 90
3.2.2 Thermal characterization of Radiata-pine by convection: Test box 93
3.3 Specific heat 113
3.4 Water vapour diffusion 116
3.5 Conclusions 121
4 Energy simulation 127
4.1 Energy Simulation with THERM v.7.3 128
4.1.1 Exterior cladding simulation 129
4.1.2 Clearances in tongue-and-groove wooden boards 136
4.2 Hygrothermal simulation with WUFI Pro v.5.3 145
4.2.1 Radiata-pine simulation 147
4.2.2 Wooden façade hygrothermal behaviour 149
4.3 Computational fluid dynamics with Design Builder 153
4.4 Conclusions 156
5 Durability 161
5.1 Test preparation 163
5.2 Registration of climate data 166
5.3 Weathering analysis 174
5.3.1 Emissivity 186
5.4 Thermal conductivity 188
5.5 Conclusions 190
6 Sustainability 193
6.1 Assessment model for environmentally friendly cladding 194
6.1.1 Analytical Framework 194
6.1.2 Evaluation methodology 195
6.1.3 Development of the tree 197
6.1.4 Value functions 198
6.1.5 Weighting factors 199

6.1.6 Definition of criteria and indicators 202
6.1.7 Evaluation of alternatives through the environmental sustainability index (ESI-CW) 212
6.2 Case study and sensitivity analysis 213
6.3 Conclusions 217
7 Wooden façades catalogue 221
7.1 Analysis of facades 222
7.1.1 Comparison of façades 248
7.2 Conclusions 249
8 Conclusions 253
8.1 Suggestions of future research 259
References 263

Tables

Table 1.1. Façade requirements....45
Table 1.2. Advantages and drawbacks of wooden façade....47
Table 1.3. Wood thermal properties, ISO UNE-EN 10456 standard....50
Table 1.4. Wood thermal conductivity according to CTE norm....51
Table 1.5. Terminology applied to sustainable buildings....58
Table 1.6. Climate data from Bilbao....60
Table 2.1. Interior and exterior superficial thermal resistance (R_{si}, R_{se}) [(m^2·°C)/W]....74
Table 2.2. Technical specifications of test equipment....76
Table 3.1. Class of use to wooden elements....89
Table 3.2. Protection requirements and penetration class (CP)....90
Table 3.3. Technical Specifications of λ-Meter EP-500 equipment....91
Table 3.4. Conductivity results by UNE-EN 12664....92
Table 3.5. Thermal conductivity of each sample, gradient 10 and 15°C (λ_{10}, λ_{15})....93
Table 3.6. Technical specifications of Testo 875-1i infrared camera....99
Table 3.7. Natural wood data of temperatures and conductivity....104
Table 3.8. Chemical treated wood data of temperatures and conductivity....105
Table 3.9. Heat-treated wood data of temperatures and conductivity....106

Table 3.10. Technical specifications of Testo 435-1 109
Table 3.11. Transmittance obtained by TESTO equipment 110
Table 3.12. Transmittance obtained by test box procedure 111
Table 3.13. Estimation of humidity content for each sample 112
Table 3.14. Technical specifications of NETZSCH DSC 200 F3 Maia 114
Table 3.15. Specific heat of Radiata pine 114
Table 3.16. Thermal mass (**mt**) and inertia (**I**) of each sample 115
Table 3.17. Permeability properties of Sample 1, natural Radiata-pine 119
Table 3.18. Permeability properties of Sample 2, chemical treated Radiata-pine 119
Table 3.19. Permeability properties of Sample 3, heat-treated Radiata-pine 119
Table 3.20. Conductivity and diffusion factor results 120
Table 4.1. Tongue-and-groove wooden boards, design D1 131
Table 4.2. Tongue-and-groove wooden boards, design D2 131
Table 4.3. Tongue-and-groove wooden boards, design D3 132
Table 4.4. Tongue-and-groove wooden boards, design D4 132
Table 4.5. Tongue-and-groove wooden boards, design D5 133
Table 4.6. Thermal resistance resulted by each solution, D1, D2, D3, D4 and D5 134
Table 4.7. Area and perimeter exposed to exterior for D1, D2, D3, D4 and D5 134
Table 4.8. Energy simulation results for each case 141
Table 4.9. Simulation results for Radiata-pine cladding 142
Table 4.10. Thermographic camera specifications 144
Table 4.11. Emissivity estimation of the wooden boards 144
Table 4.12. Definition of sample 1, 2 and 3 properties 147
Table 4.13. Sample 1, 2 and 3 conductivity depending on test 148
Table 4.14. Transmittance (U) and superficial resistance (Rs) values obtained by Test Box 148
Table 4.15. Transient transmittance results by WUFI Pro (U_T) 149
Table 4.16. Transmittance in case 1 (U1), 2 (U2) and 3 (U3) 151

Table 4.17. Design Builder results for sample S1, to 28°C Test 154
Table 4.18. Design Builder results and lost in relation with box thermal resistance 154
Table 5.1. Climate data from 23/12/2014 to 23/03/2015 169
Table 5.2. Climate data from 23/03/2015 to 23/06/2015 169
Table 5.3. Climate data from 23/06/2015 to 23/09/2015 170
Table 5.4. Climate data from 23/09/2015 to 23/12/2015 171
Table 5.5. Climate data from 23/12/2015 to 23/03/2016 171
Table 5.6. Climate data from 23/03/2016 to 23/06/2016 172
Table 5.7. Climate data from 23/06/2016 to 23/09/2016 173
Table 5.8. Climate data from 23/09/2016 to 23/12/2016 173
Table 5.9. Visual assessment of the samples ageing, from January to March, 2015 176
Table 5.10. Visual assessment of the samples ageing, from April to June, 2015 177
Table 5.11. Visual assessment of the samples ageing, from July to September, 2015 178
Table 5.12. Visual assessment of the samples ageing, from October to December, 2015 . 179
Table 5.13. Visual assessment of the samples ageing, from January to March, 2016 180
Table 5.14. Visual assessment of the samples ageing, from April to June, 2016 181
Table 5.15. Visual assessment of the samples ageing, from July to September, 2016 182
Table 5.16. Visual assessment of the samples ageing, from October to December, 2016 . 183
Table 5.17. Reference temperature (T_0), material temperature (T) and emissivity (ϵ) of P1, P2 and P3 187
Table 5.18. Emissivity variation in sample 1, 2 and 3 before and after ageing 188
Table 5.19. Thermal conductivity variation between original (λ) and elder samples (λ´) ... 189
Table 6.1. Scoring of the “Product Characterization” indicator 203
Table 6.2. Scoring of the “Manufacturing and assembly” indicator 206
Table 6.3. Score of “Optimization of resources” indicator 208
Table 6.4. Scoring of “Impact Control” indicator 209
Table 6.5. Scoring of “Waste Management” indicator 211

Table 6.6. Practical valuation, Case 1 214
Table 6.7. Practical valuation, Case 2 215
Table 6.8. Practical valuation, Case 3 216

Figures

Figure 1.1. Different facade models 32
Figure 1.2. Santa Maria del Fiore © E. Buchot 35
Figure 1.3. House Ratschow in Rostock © Bernd H. Schuldes 37
Figure 1.4. Frank Lloyd Wright designer ©2014 Ennis House Foundation 39
Figure 1.5. Red House, Ross-shire @Brennan & Wilson Architects 43
Figure 1.6. Aspects of sustainable construction 56
Figure 1.7. Olgyay bioclimatic chart applied to Bilbao, from January (J) to December (D) 61
Figure 1.8. Givoni bioclimatic chart applied to Bilbao, from January (J) to December (D) 63
Figure 2.1. Graphical abstract of the research 68
Figure 2.2. Test box photographs 70
Figure 2.3. Heat loss percentages 73
Figure 2.4. Superficial thermal resistance from the exterior side 74
Figure 2.5. Heat transfer through a plane sample 77
Figure 3.1. Combinations of heat transfer in a plane 86
Figure 3.2. Heat transfer through a ventilated façade 86
Figure 3.3. CTE climate zones in Spain 87

Figure 3.4. λ-Meter EP-500, thermal conductivity measure equipment 91

Figure 3.5. Velocity and temperature profile for the natural convection flux on a hot vertical slab with T_s temperature inside a fluid with T_∞ temperature 95

Figure 3.6. Natural convection: Isotherms on a hot plate 96

Figure 3.7. Infrared Camera with Super Resolution ©TESTO 875-1i 99

Figure 3.8. Natural (1), chemical treated (2) and heat-treated (3) Radiata-pine thermography 99

Figure 3.9. Multifunctional transmittance detector © TESTO 435-1 with thermocouples and a wireless temperature probe 109

Figure 3.10. NETZSCH DSC 200 F3 Maia equipment 113

Figure 3.11. Chemical treated Radiata-pine samples 114

Figure 3.12. Preparation of the permeability test step by step 118

Figure 3.13. Relative humidity measures for natural (a) and heat-treated wood (b) 120

Figure 4.1. CLT (left) and timber framed (right) façades 130

Figure 4.2. Common tongue-and-groove wooden boards 136

Figure 4.3. Tongue-and-groove boards: Clearances and movements 137

Figure 4.4. Analysis of different distribution of clearances 138

Figure 4.5. Distribution of temperature 139

Figure 4.6. Distribution of heat flux 140

Figure 4.7. Distribution of heat flux across Radiata-pine cladding 142

Figure 4.8. Wood wall test with a heat chamber 143

Figure 4.9. Thermography 144

Figure 4.10. Corporative logotype 145

Figure 4.11. Hygrothermal behaviour of case 1 during a year 152

Figure 4.12. CFD simulation of the test box 155

Figure 5.1. Natural wood (1), chemical treated (2) and heat-treated (3) Radiata-pine 162

Figure 5.2. Cylindrical solar chart, from June to December 164

Figure 5.3. Cylindrical solar chart, from December to June 164

Figure 5.4. Shading simulation of the test in January 165
Figure 5.5. The samples placement 166
Figure 5.6. Sample 1, before (a), after a year (b), after two years (c) 184
Figure 5.7. Sample 2, before (a), after a year (b), after two years (c) 184
Figure 5.8. Sample 3, before (a), after a year (b), after two years (c) 185
Figure 5.9. Samples after rainy days, 04/09/2015 185
Figure 5.10. Reference termography (ε = 1) 186
Figure 6.1. General Hierarchy Diagram of the Evaluation 197
Figure 6.2. Evaluation tree of the environmental sustainability index 200
Figure 6.3. Value function associated with the “Product Characterization” indicator 204
Figure 6.4. Value function of “Manufacture and Assembly” indicator 207
Figure 6.5. Value function of “Optimization of resources” indicator 208
Figure 6.6. Value function of “Impact Control” indicator 210
Figure 6.7. Value function of “Waste Management” indicator 212
Figure 6.8. Case study © A. Buruaga 213

Graphics

Graphic 3.1. Registration of temperatures during a test 101

Graphic 3.2. Three cycles interval of temperatures in each test (a, b, c and d) 102

Graphic 3.3. Three cycles interval of temperatures in each test (a, b, c and d) for natural wood (right) and heat-treated wood (left) 103

Graphic 3.4. Thermal conductivity of Radiata-pine 107

Graphic 3.5. Thermal conductivity of Radiata-pine treated with chemicals 107

Graphic 3.6. Thermal conductivity of Radiata-pine heat-treated 107

Graphic 3.7. Thermal transmittance of sample 1, 2 and 3 108

Graphic 4.1. Transmittance in case 1 (U1), 2 (U2) and 3 (U3) 151

Graphic 5.1. Climate data during 2015 in Bilbao 167

Graphic 5.2. Climate data during 2016 in Bilbao 168

Graphic 6.1. Results of Case 1 214

Graphic 6.2. Results of Case 2 215

Graphic 6.3. Results of Case 3 216

"We may use wood with intelligence only if we understood wood"

Frank Lloid Wright

1867-1959

CHAPTER 0
Introduction