



ISBN 978-1-138-02951-4

A BALKEMA BOOK



# Structural Analysis of Historical Constructions

*Anamnesis, diagnosis, therapy, controls*

Koen Van Balen & Els Verstrynghe  
Editors

## Committees

### ORGANIZING COMMITTEE

Prof. Koen Van Balen, KU Leuven, chair  
Asst. Prof. Els Verstrynghe, KU Leuven, co-chair  
Prof. Ine Wouters, Vrije universiteit Brussel, co-chair  
Prof. Guido De Roeck, KU Leuven, co-chair  
Prof. em. Dionys Van Gemert, KU Leuven, co-chair

### LOCAL ORGANIZING COMMITTEE

Nathalie Van Roy, KU Leuven  
Özlem Cizer, KU Leuven  
Kristine Loonbeek, KU Leuven  
Ann Schram, KU Leuven  
Inge Bertels, Vrije Universiteit Brussel  
Stephanie Van De Voorde, Vrije Universiteit Brussel  
Kristof De Wilder, KU Leuven  
Aziliz Vandesande, KU Leuven  
Leidy Elvira Bejarano Urrego, KU Leuven  
Charlotte Van Steen, KU Leuven  
Naveen Shetty, KU Leuven

### INTERNATIONAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Paulo B. Lourenço, University of Minho, Portugal  
Claudio Modena, University of Padova, Italy  
Pere Roca, Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya, Spain

### INTERNATIONAL SCIENTIFIC COMMITTEE

Takayoshi Aoki, Japan	Petr Kabele, Czech Republic
Görün Arun, Turkey	Stephen Kelley, USA
Luigia Binda, Italy	Debra Laefer, Ireland
Michael de Bouw, Belgium	Roberto Meli, Mexico
Fausto Cardoso, Ecuador	Androniki Miltiadou-Fezans, Greece
Özlem Cizer, Belgium	Tom Morrison, Canada
Dina D'ayala, UK	Julio Vargas-Neumann, Peru
Matthew DeJong, UK	Daniel Oliveira, Portugal
Guido De Roeck, Belgium	Hulusi Özkul, Turkey
Bernard Espion, Belgium	Chun Qing, China
Gianmarco de Felice, Italy	Jan G. Rots, The Netherlands
Stephen Garrity, UK	Marcela Hurtado Saldías, Chile
Xianglin Gu, China	Mario Santana, Canada
Harald Garrecht, Germany	Mattias Schevenels, Belgium
Toshikazu Hanazato, Japan	Erwin Schwing, Germany
Khalid El Harouni, Morocco	Maria Segarra-Lagunes, Italy
Mehrdad Hejazi, Iran	Halil Sezen, USA
Jerzy Jasiénko, Poland	Marek Sklodowski, Poland

Pierre Smars, Taiwan  
Miha Tomazevic, Slovenia  
Adrienn Tomor, UK  
Stefano Della Torre, Italy  
Ahmet Turer, Turkey  
Maria Rosa Valluzzi, Italy  
Koen Van Balen, Belgium

Dionys Van Gemert, Belgium  
Rob van Hees, The Netherlands  
Els Verstrynghe, Belgium  
Elizabeth Vintzileou, Greece  
Ine Wouters, Belgium  
Sun Xun, China  
Denis Zastavni, Belgium

#### EXTENDED REVIEW COMMITTEE

Rossana Bellopede, Italy  
Kris Brosens, Belgium  
Chiara Calderini, Italy  
Giuliana Cardani, Italy  
Jonas Coene, Belgium  
Kerstin Elert, Spain  
Bahman Ghiassi, Portugal  
Giorgia Giardina, UK  
Maria Girardi, Italy  
Gert Heirman, Belgium  
Roel Hendrickx, Belgium

Veronica Heras, Ecuador  
Sven Ignoul, Belgium  
Rogiros Illampas, Cyprus  
Kristof Maes, Belgium  
Gilles Mertens, Belgium  
Anila Naeem, Pakistan  
Teresa Patricio, Belgium  
Belen Riveiro, Spain  
Vasileios Sarhosis, UK  
Ilse de Vent, The Netherlands  
Volker Wetzck, Germany

# Table of contents

Preface

Introduction – about SAHC 2016

Committees

Sponsors

## Keynotes

**Theme 1: Innovation and heritage**

**Theme 2: Preventive conservation**

**Theme 3: Computational strategies for heritage structures**

**Theme 4: Sustainable strengthening of masonry with composites**

**Theme 5: Values and sustainability**

**Theme 6: Subsoil interaction**

**Traditional and innovative construction materials and techniques**

**Non-destructive testing, inspection and structural monitoring**

**Adobe and vernacular constructions**

**Conservation of the 20<sup>th</sup> century architectural heritage**

**Structural assessment and intervention of archaeological sites**

**Theory and practice of conservation**

**Repair and strengthening techniques**

**Analytical and numerical approaches**

**In-situ and laboratory experimental results**

**Seismic behavior and retrofitting**

**Rehabilitation, re-use and valorization of cultural heritage buildings**

## Case studies

Sponsor's information

Author index

## Retrofitting of masonry vaults with composite materials

L. Garmendia

*TECNALIA, Parque Tecnológico de Bizkaia, Derio, Spain  
Department of Mechanical Engineering, UPV/EHU, Bilbao, Spain*

R. San-Mateos, D. García & A. Gandini

*TECNALIA, Parque Tecnológico de Bizkaia, Derio, Spain*

J.T. San-José & I. Marcos

*Department of Mining, Metallurgical and Material Science, UPV/EHU, Bilbao, Spain  
Department of Mechanical Engineering, UPV/EHU, Bilbao, Spain*

**ABSTRACT:** Externally Bonded composite materials are fast becoming the standard solution for structural strengthening, substituting traditional techniques (reinforced concrete, steel, etc). Polymer-reinforced fibres are now commonly applied to buildings for structural retrofitting purposes. These materials add greater tensile strength to structures, at the expense of a slight increase in weight. However, they also have other drawbacks as lack of water vapour permeability and brittle behaviour, which are not desired in the conservation of heritage buildings.

Alternative to this composite materials are inorganic matrix-based composite materials which show advantageous properties and solve some of the drawbacks associated with organic matrices. This research presents long steel fibres and basalt textiles embedded in inorganic matrix to produce mortar-based composite.

Initially, a mechanical characterization of the individual components and the resulting composite material was performed. Afterwards, real-scale (3 m span) brick masonry vaults were strengthened by means of these composite materials and tested up to failure, in order to demonstrate their mechanical effectiveness.

The experimental campaign demonstrates that composite mortar is an effective alternative to polymeric composites for the reinforcement of masonry brick vaults, which is physically compatible with masonry structures and easy to apply.

### 1 INTRODUCTION

The present work is focused on inorganic matrix composites, i.e. Textile Reinforced Mortar (TRM) and Steel Reinforced Mortar (SRM) (Larrinaga et al. 2014, Olivito et al. 2014, De Santis 2015, De Santis 2015b, Valluzzi 2014), which is a novel area of experimental research. These composite materials offer advantageous solutions due to their water-vapour permeability, applicable over humid substrate (common situation in masonry structures), lack of toxic substances emission in case of fire, fire resistance, ease-of-application and of removability (de Felice et al. 2014). Although their mechanical properties in comparison to organic composites (i.e. Fibre Reinforced Polymers) can be less effective, and may require longer curing periods (weeks), for example, their overall behaviour makes them an attractive solution for the retrofitting of masonry structures (Garmendia et al. 2014 and 2014b, Oliveira et al. 2012). TRM and SRM solutions are designed to preserve existing masonry structures and to prevent brittle failure. For this purpose, constitutive

materials of the composite must be appropriately selected.

The externally bonded (EB) reinforcement is highly dependent on the bond between the composite and the substrate, and the interaction between the matrix and the inner reinforcement. Interface behaviour and the mortar-reinforcement bond are therefore key factors in the performance of the strengthening technique. Hence, the most important characteristics of the matrix should be as follows: adequate consistency to penetrate the textile (dependent on textile density and geometry), workability, chemical and physical compatibility with the substrate, adequate mechanical properties, low creepage and shrinkage, and good fire resistance.

In this research work, long steel fibres and basalt textiles are applied to the resistant core of the inorganic matrix to produce a steel-basalt reinforced mortar-based composite. In the case of TRM, bidirectional textiles such as BRM (basalt fibres embedded in the EB matrix) are usually applied, in order to improve bond behaviour. Normally, when loads are applied in a single direction, transversal fibres are designed

The final deformability of the SRM strengthened vault at the collapse stage presented a ductility that was over 40 times higher than the non-strengthened ones.

The improvements in the strengthened vaults implies that the strengthening system absorbed the tensile stresses that the vault would otherwise not have withstood, therefore, avoiding or postponing the formation of the kinematic mechanism. The trust line can lie outside the thickness of the arch and, consequently, its load resistant capacity and deformability is increased.

## 5 CONCLUSIONS

The experimental campaign has shown that the two composite materials are effective solutions for the strengthening intervention, as they enable the masonry structure to carry substantial tensile stresses and prevent brittle failure.

The research has shown that steel and basalt reinforced mortar (SRM/BRM) are effective externally bonded composite solutions for masonry barrel vault strengthening.

Future research is desired to increase practitioner confidence and to reduce the safety factors associated with this retrofitting technology.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This research work was made possible thanks to finances from the EFFESUS Collaborative Project FP7 (G.A. No. 314678) and the **Basque Regional Government (IT781-13 research group)**.

## REFERENCES

ASTM C 469, Standard Test Method for Static Modulus of Elasticity and Poisson's Ratio of Concrete in Compression, 2002.

ASTM D 5034-95, Standard Test Method for Breaking Strength and Elongation of Textile Fabrics (Grab Test), 2001.

Bednarz, LJ, Jasieñko J, Rutkowski M, Nowak TP (2014). *Strengthening and long-term monitoring of the structure of an historical church presbytery*, Eng. Struct. 81(2014) 62–75, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.engstruct.2014.09.028>.

Bernat E, Gil L, Roca P, Escrig C (2013). *Experimental and analytical study of TRM strengthened brickwork walls under eccentric compressive loading*. Constr. Build. Mater. 44 (2013)35–47, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2013.03.006>.

Borri A, Casadei P, Castori G, Hammond J (2009). *Strengthening of brick masonry arches with externally bonded steel reinforced composites*. J. Compos. Constr. 13 (6)(2009)468–475, [http://dx.doi.org/10.1061/\(ASCE\)CC.1943-5614.0000030](http://dx.doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)CC.1943-5614.0000030).

Borri A, Castori G, Grazini A(2007). *Seismic upgrading of historical masonry buildings with steel reinforced grout (SRG)*. Proceedings of FRPRCS-8. Patras, Greece, July 16–18, University of Patras, Patras, Greece, 2007.

Cevallos OA and Olivito RS(2014). *Effects of fabric parameters on the tensile behaviour of sustainable cementitious composites*. Compos. Part B 69 (2014) 256–266, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.compositesb.2014.10.004>.

De Felice et al. (2014). *Mortar-based systems for externally bonded strengthening of masonry*. Materials and Structures (2014) 47:2021–2037.

De Santis S, De Felice G (2015) *Tensile behaviour of mortar-based composites for externally bonded reinforcement systems*. Compos. Part B 68 (2015) 401–413, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.compositesb.2014.09.011>.

De Santis S, De Felice G (2015b). *Steel reinforced grout systems for the strengthening of masonry structures*. Composite Structures 134(2015) 533–548.

EN 67042, Big Ceramic Pieces of Burned Clay. Determination of the modulus of Rupture, 1988.

García D (2009) *Experimental and Numerical Analysis of Stone Masonry Walls Strengthened With Advanced Composite Materials* (PhD Thesis) Escuela de Ingeniería de Bilbao, 2009.

Garmendia L, Larrinaga P, García D, Marcos I (2014) *Textile-reinforced mortar as strengthening material for masonry arches*. Int. J. Archit. Herit. Conserv. Anal. Restor. 8 (5) (2014)627–648, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/15583058.2012.704480>.

Garmendia L, Marcos I, Garbin E, Valluzzi MR (2014b) *Strengthening of masonry arches with textile-reinforced mortar: experimental behaviour and analytical approaches*. Mater. Struct. 47 (12) (2014) 2067–2080, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1617/s11527-014-0339-y>.

Heyman J (1982). *The Masonry Arch*. Ellis Horwood Limited, 1982.

Larrinaga P, Chastre C, Biscaia HC, San-José JT (2014) *Experimental and numerical modelling of basalt textile reinforced mortar behavior under uniaxial tensile stress*. Larrinaga P, Chastre C, San-José JT, Garmendia L (2013) *Non-linear analytical model of composites based on basalt textile reinforced mortar under uniaxial tension*. Compos. Part B 55(2013) 518–527, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.compositesb.2013.06.043>.

Mater. Des. 55 (2014) 66–74, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.matdes.2013.09.050>.

Oliveira DV, Silva RA, Garbin E, Lourenço PB. *Strengthening of three-leaf stone masonry walls: an experimental research*, Mater. Struct. 45 (8) (2012) 1259–1276, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1617/s11527-012-9832-3>.

Olivito RS, Cevallos OA, Carrozzini A (2014) *Development of durable cementitious composites using sisal and flax fabrics for reinforcement of masonry structures*. Mater. Des. 57(2014)258–268, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.matdes.2013.11.023>.

UNE-EN 1015–11, Methods of Test for Mortar for Masonry—Part 11: Determination of Flexural and Compressive Strength of Hardened Mortar, 2000.

UNI6556, Tests of Concretes. Determination of Static Modulus of Elasticity in Compression, 1976.

Valluzzi MR, Modena C, de Felice G (2014). *Current practice and open issues in strengthening historical buildings with composites*. Mater. Struct. 47 (2014) 1971–1985, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1617/s11527-014-0359-7>.